# Examination of Estimates of Expenditure 2015-16

Reply Serial No.

**FHB**(**FE**)227

### CONTROLLING OFFICER'S REPLY

## (Question Serial No. 4540)

Head: (49) Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Subhead (No. & title): (000) Operational Expenses

<u>Programme</u>: (2) Environmental Hygiene and Related Services

<u>Controlling Officer</u>: Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene (Miss Vivian LAU)

<u>Director of Bureau</u>: Secretary for Food and Health

Question:

- (1) For the past two years, please provide information on the following with a breakdown by District Council district and by year:
  - a. the number of complaints received regarding the illegal display of easy-mount frames and banners;
  - b. the number of illegally displayed easy-mount frames and banners removed;
  - c. the number of owners of illegally displayed materials who were asked to bear the costs incurred and the number of demand notices issued; and
  - d. the number of cases in which penalty notices could not be served on the owners of illegally displayed materials.
- (2) Please advise on the respective costs for removing an easy-mount frame and a banner, the number of current default cases with unsettled payments, and under what circumstances the Government will write off these payments.
- (3) Please advise whether the Government will bear the relevant costs if penalty notices cannot be served on the owners of illegally displayed materials. Please also advise on the amount involved.

Asked by: Hon CHAN Ka-lok, Kenneth (Member Question No. 307)

# Reply:

- (1) The information sought is provided as follows
  - a. The number of complaints received regarding unauthorised display of publicity materials in 2013 and 2014 was 4 756 and 5 181 respectively. The Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (the Department) does not keep a breakdown of the complaints by district.

b. The number of unauthorised publicity materials removed in 2013 and 2014 was 3 148 500 and 3 002 810 respectively, including 6 314 and 4 885 easy-mount frames with display of unauthorised publicity materials respectively. The Department does not keep a breakdown, by district, of the unauthorised publicity materials removed.

#### c. & d.

The Department identifies the beneficiaries based on the information shown on the unauthorised publicity materials. If the beneficiaries of the unauthorised publicity materials cannot be identified, no demand notes would be issued. The number of demand notes issued in 2013 and 2014 was 598 and 643 respectively. The Department does not keep a breakdown, by district, of the demand notes issued. Nor does it keep information on the number of owners on whom the Department has or has not served demand notes.

- (2) The Department recovers the removal cost from the beneficiaries of the publicity materials removed in accordance with section 130 of the Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132). The removal cost includes the cost of labour, transport, supervision and departmental charges, and will be apportioned among the beneficiaries of the publicity materials removed during the same operation. The removal cost for each publicity material removed depends largely on the number of publicity materials removed in the operation. In 2014, 41 out of 643 demand notes issued have not been settled as at February 2015. The Department will consider writing off the arrears only after all actions taken to recover the arrears have failed.
- (3) If the unauthorised publicity materials removed do not contain sufficient information for identifying the beneficiaries, the removal cost concerned will be borne by the Department. The Department does not keep a breakdown of the costs involved.